HOLTZMAN & URQUHART

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

600 CONOCO TOWER FIVE GREENWAY PLAZA HOUSTON, TEXAS 77046 TELEPHONE (7:3) 850-0511

September 27, 1985

Re: Remedial cleanup of Wallisville Road site, Houston, Harris County, Texas

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. David Sullivan
(6ES-EF) U. S. Environmental
Protection Agency, Region 6
Emergency Response Branch
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75270

OKIN CORP - SP ONIVER TXDOTO GOTO 28

Mr. Jim Haley
Texas Department of
Water Resources
1700 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. Fred Dalby
Texas Department of
Water Resources
1700 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. Clarence Johnson
Texas Department of
Water Resources
4301 Center Street
Deer Park, Texas 77536

958192



Gentlemen:

I refer to the letter to you from Fred Stumpf, Eureka's counsel, dated September 26, 1983.

Olin takes strong exception to the multitude of inaccurate and self-serving statements made by Mr. Stumpf in his letter and to his lack of candor. For instance, how can Eureka deny liability and responsibility for the cleanup at the site when Eureka is an owner of the site, Eureka knew before it purchased the site that Olin had operated a pesticide blending facility there, and Eureka caused the current potential problems at the site by indiscriminately demolishing buildings and storage tanks and bulldozing dirt and debris to the northeast corner of the site?

Further, Olin has not "consistently refused to cooperate with the resgulatory authorities", as Mr. Stumpf contends. In fact, Olin has stood ready, willing and able to proceed in a joint cleanup effort at the site for quite some time. You will recall that by way of letter to Jim Haley dated September 3, 1985, Olin offered to provide the TDWR with a certified check in the amount of \$50,000, representing one-third of the approximate

Mr. David Sullivan, et al Page -2-September 27, 1985

cost of the cleanup. (See copy of that letter attached hereto.) Now that it appears the total cost of cleanup could run as high as \$210,000, Olin hereby offers to provide the EPA and/or the TDWR with a certified check in the amount of \$70,000, representing one-third of the approximate cost of the cleanup.

Following Eureka's lead, Olin "challenges" Eureka and Southern Pacific "to take responsibility," to act responsibly and to sign the enclosed Agreement for Joint Cleanup which I have been authorized to sign and have signed on behalf of Olin.

Enough said; however, if you are going to consider Mr. Stumpf's letter for any purpose, please advise me so that Olin can respond to that letter in detail.

Sincerely,

Tom Bavko

TB/mc

Attachment and enclosure

oc: Mr. Fred Stumpf
Olivier, Stumpf, Falgout
& Guynes
1400 Post Oak Blvd.

1400 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 400

Houston, Texas 77056

(w/attach. and enc.)

Mr. Robert Maher
Crain, Caton, James &
 Womble
3300 Two Houston Center
Houston, Texas 77010
(w/attach. and enc.)

Mr. Louis Zimmerman
Fulbright & Jaworski
1150 Connecticut Ave. N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036
(w/attach. and enc.)

Mr. David Sullivan, et al Page -3-September 27, 1985

cc:

Mr. Allyn Carnam
Olin Corporation
Research Center
275 South Winchester Ave.
New Haven, CT 06511
(w/attach. and enc.)

Mr. Phil Haag Hooper, Robinson & Moeller 706 Perry Brooks Bldg. Austin, Texas 78701 (w/attach. and enc.)

AGREEMENT FOR JOINT CLEANUP

WHEREAS, Olin Corporation ("Olin"), Eureka Investment Company ("Eureka"), Mustang Tractor & Equipment Company ("Mustang"), and Southern Pacific Transportation Company ("SoPac"), hereinafter collectively referred to as "the companies," attended a conference called by the Texas Department of Water Resources ("TDWR") on February 20, 1985;

WHEREAS, the TDWR and, more recently, the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") suggested that the parties jointly clean up certain portions of allegedly contaminated property located at 762/ Wallisville Road, Houston, Texas ("the property");

whereas the property is the subject of lawsuits entitled Eureka Investment Company v. Olin Corporation, Civil Action No. H-82-0306; Southern Pacific Transportation

Company v. Eureka Investment Company and Mustang Tractor & Equipment Company, et al., Civil Action No. H-82-0316; consolidated cases in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division;

WHEREAS, Houston Belt and Terminal ("HB&T") is the owner of a railroad easement across a portion of the property;

WHEREAS, the companies desire to participate in a joint cleanup effort;

THEN KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

- 1. The companies -- Olin, Eureka, Mustang, and SoPac -- hereby RESOLVE and AGREE that they will participate in a joint cleanup operation of the property, as requested by the TDWR and the EPA. The details of this cleanup operation are outlined in the attached schedule entitled "Scope of Work."
- 2. Each company agrees to provide one-third of the expenses involved, as follows: one-third paid by Olin, one-third paid by Eureka and Mustang, and one-third paid by SoPac. The companies estimate that the total cost of the cleanup operation will be approximately Two Hundred Forty

\$210,000,00 TB

Thousand (\$2,40,000.00) Dollars. The failure of any company to pay its proportional part shall constitute a material breach of this agreement. For the purposes of this agreement only, Eureka and Mustang shall be jointly considered as one company.

- 3. The contractor, yet to be named, who will per- (FS) form the actual cleanup work, shall invoice each company for fue. one-third of the expenses and costs involved in the contractor's work. Each company shall pay the contractor directly the company's proportional one-third share.
- 4. If any company should materially breach this agreement, either or both of the remaining companies, as well as the contractor, shall be entitled to bring a lawsuit against the party in breach and shall be entitled to recover the amount owed.
- 5. The execution of this agreement does not constitute any admission or acceptance of liability by the parties hereto nor do these parties admit that they violated any statute, rule, regulation, or other law of the State or of the TDWR or any statute, rule, regulation, or other law of the federal government or the EPA. Furthermore, nothing in this agreement shall constitute an admission by the parties hereto of any legal or factual matters set forth herein, which matters are specifically denied by these parties. Therefore, by signing this agreement, these parties do not admit, accept, or acknowledge any liability or fault with respect to any conditions at the property or with respect to any conditions that may develop from the cleanup effort proposed herein.
- 6. This agreement shall not affect, alter or modify, in any manner, the on-going litigation described above, except as are specifically stated and agreed to in paragraph 7 below. This agreement shall not be construed as a settlement or compromise of that litigation. Furthermore, nothing herein is intended to release or waive any claim, cause of action, defense, or demand, in law or in equity, that any party to this agreement may have against any person or entity, including without limitation any other party to this

agreement, for any liability that currently exists or may develop at any time in the future.

- 7. The issue of liability for 100% of the costs incurred in this joint cleanup effort, as set forth in paragraph 2 above, plus those costs incurred by the companies for engineering and technical experts and consultants for this cleanup project, may be submitted as an independent damages claim in the above-described litigation.
- 8. HB&T herein agrees to cooperate with the companies in the performance of the project. In this regard, the contractor shall notify HB&T of the contractor's schedule for completing the project. HB&T agrees to coordinate all of its activities within its railroad easement and across the property so as to not interfere with or hinder the companies' cleanup activities on the property. In this regard, and without limitation, HB&T shall permit the companies to come upon and cross HB&T's easement area at all necessary and reasonable times in the performance of the project, and HB&T agrees to reroute its trains from the easement area and/or to place flagmen at strategic locations along its railroad tracks in order to direct train traffic at times when the contractor needs access along or across HB&T's easement.
- 9. All the parties hereby agree to use their best efforts and to cooperate fully in effecting the proposed cleanup operation as expeditiously as possible.
- 10. This agreement shall be valid and effective only upon each and every named party's execution of this agreement. Failure of any of the companies or of HB&T to sign this agreement shall render this agreement null and void.
- agreement of the parties hereto, this agreement shall terminate upon the completion of the project in accordance with the provisions of the schedule attached hereto entitled the "Scope of Work," except that the covenants and agreements of paragraph nos. 5, 6 and 7 shall survive.

- 12. This agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties hereto. This agreement shall not be modified or amended without the prior written agreement of all of the companies; and only paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of this agreement shall not be modified or amended without the additional prior written agreement of HB&T.
- 13. This agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas.

EXECUTED THIS 271/2 day of September, 1985.

OLIN CORPORATION

BY: Tow Day ho

THE STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF HARRIS §

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public, on this day personally appeared Tom DAYKO, attorney for off OLIN CORPORATION, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed above, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this 2717 day of September, 1985.

Notary Public in and for The State of T E X A S

My Commission Expires:

11/14/88

EUREKA INVESTMENT COMPANY

BY:_____

THE STATE OF TEXAS §	
COUNTY OF HARRIS S	
BEFORE ME, the undersigned No personally appeared , SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPAN person whose name is subscribed above, that he executed the same for the purp therein expressed.	of Y, known to me to be the and acknowledged to me
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL day of, 1985.	OF OFFICE, this
·	
	ry Public in and for State of T E X A S
My Commission Expires:	•
HOUS	TON BELT AND TERMINAL
BY:	
THE STATE OF TEXAS §	
COUNTY OF HARRIS S	
BEFORE ME, the undersigned No personally appeared HOUSTON BELT AND TERMINAL, known to me name is subscribed above, and acknowle executed the same for the purposes and expressed.	of to be the person whose dged to me that he
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL day of, 1985.	OF OFFICE, this
	ry Public in and for State of T E X A S

NVG00000 85258501

My Commission Expires:

	THE STATE OF TEXAS §
	COUNTY OF HARRIS §
	BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public, on this day personally appeared
	day of, 1985.
	Notary Public in and for The State of T E X A S My Commission Expires:
	MUSTANG TRACTOR & EQUIPMENT COMPANY
	BY:
	THE STATE OF TEXAS \$ S COUNTY OF HARRIS S
	BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public, on this day personally appeared
·	GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this, 1985.
	Notary Public in and for The State of T E X A S
	My Commission Expires:
	SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY
	BV•